

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,133	12/10/2001		Soichi Inoue	216114US2SDIV 1228	
22850	7590	10/29/2003		EXAMINER	
OBLON, S 1940 DUKE	•	MCCLELLAND,	ESPLIN, DAVID B		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2851	

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	11					
Advisory Action	10/006,133	INOUE ET AL.	$\mathcal{N}$					
navioury nation	Examiner	Art Unit						
	D. Ben Esplin	2851						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr unt of the fee. The appr priginally set in the final	on. See MPEP  opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration.	dered but does NO	T place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	will be entered a wor appended.	and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:								

June Gull

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments to the claims change the scope of the claims, and would therefore require further consideration and additional searching. Regarding Applicant's argument that the Final Rejection was made prematurely, although the claims themselves were not amended, the scope of the claims was changed by Applicant's amendment to the drawings and specification in a manner that could not be reasonably expected by the Examiner. Therefore, the finality of the rejection is viewed to be proper.

2